



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/466,308	12/17/1999	ACHAL R. PATEL	067191.0106	1006
7590	02/11/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER & BOTTS LLP 2001 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS, TX 752012980			NGUYEN, DAVID Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2681	16
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Candidate(s)</b>	
	09/466,308	PATEL, ACHAL R.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David Q Nguyen	2681	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 December 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-15,17-46 and 48-62 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-15,17-46,48-62 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
    - 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    - 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
  - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/01/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's Remarks on page 12, Applicants argue that Obhan fails to disclose generating a current usage map indicating real-time bandwidth being utilized at the geo-location area, as recited in claims 1 and 32 as amended to incorporate the limitations of cancelled claims 16 and 47.

Examiner respectfully disagrees because Obhan discloses: "the current demand data and potential demand data indicate the current subscriber loading and the potential subscriber loading, respectively, within the wireless communication system (see page 5, lines 15-23). It is apparent that Obhan discloses generating a current usage map indicating real-time bandwidth being utilized at the geo-location area.

In response to applicant's argument on page 13 that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Egner et al disclose in a wireless communication system, radio resources are dynamically assigned among a plurality of intercoupled base stations based upon historical

loading to meet system operator goals and reduce both localized and system interference for servicing subscribers. Obhan discloses in a wireless system, spectrum usage is measured in both real-time usgae and in potential usage; based upon the actual subscriber loading levels, potential subscriber loading levels, historical loading levels, and the system capacity, the system performs operations that manage use of the available spectrum in order to meet system operator goals for servicing subscribers. Therefore, the combination of Egner with Obhan renders such a suggestion or motivation.

In response to Applicant's Remarks on page 14, Applicants argue Egner and Obhan fail to disclose the geo-location tool operable to estimate bandwidth parameters for the geo-location area on per service class basic and an allocation engine operable to allocate bandwidth in the geo-location area on the per service class basis based on the bandwidth parameters.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that Obhan discloses the geo-location tool operable to estimate bandwidth parameters for the geo-location area on per service class basic and an allocation engine operable to allocate bandwidth in the geo-location area on the per service class basis based on the bandwidth parameters (see col. 23, lines 1-16, lines 45-47).

In response to Applicant's Remarks on pages 14-15, Applicants argue that Egner and Obhan fail to disclose a source map comprising sources of bit usage in the geo-location area.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that Obhan discloses a source map comprising sources of bit usage in the geo-location area (see col. 23, lines 1-16).

Art Unit: 2681

In response to Applicant's Remarks on page 15, Applicants argue that Egner and Obhan fail to disclose a subscriber usage profile indicating the probability of a subscriber engaging in a connection in a geo-location area.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that Obhan discloses a subscriber usage profile indicating the probability of a subscriber engaging in a connection in a geo-location area (see col. 2, lines 40-45).

In response to Applicant's Remarks on page 15, Applicants argue that Egner and Obhan fail to disclose a current usage map comprising a peak rate for each active connection in a geo-location area.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that Obhan discloses a current usage map comprising a peak rate for each active connection in a geo-location area (see col. 5, lines 41-49).

In response to Applicant's Remarks on page 15, Applicants argue that Egner and Obhan fail to disclose usage or demand maps comprising primary and neighbor server information for each active connection within a geo-location area.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that Obhan discloses usage or demand maps comprising primary and neighbor server information for each active connection within a geo-location area (see col. 6, lines 16-67).

In response to Applicant's Remarks on page 16, Applicants argue that Egner and Obhan fail to disclose generating a current demand map for the geo-location area.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that Obhan discloses generating a current demand map for the geo-location area (see col. 5, lines 15-23).

In response to Applicant's Remarks on page 16, Applicants argue that Egner and Obhan fail to disclose generating an expected demand map for the geo-location area.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that Obhan discloses generating an expected demand map for the geo-location area (see col. 6, lines 35-40).

In response to Applicant's Remarks on page 16, Applicants argue that Egner and Obhan fail to disclose an interference contribution map indicating the impact on resource usage of supporting various bandwidths at the geo-location area.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that Obhan discloses an interference contribution map indicating the impact on resource usage of supporting various bandwidths at the geo-location area (see col. 6, lines 57-67).

In response to Applicant's Remarks on page 17, Applicants argue that Egner and Obhan fail to disclose an allocation engine operable to generate a bandwidth supply map indicating the available bandwidth at a geo-location area based on bandwidth allocation, a total bandwidth, and an interference contribution bandwidth for a geo-location area.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that Obhan discloses an allocation engine operable to generate a bandwidth supply map indicating the available bandwidth at a geo-location area based on bandwidth allocation, a total bandwidth, and an interference contribution bandwidth for a geo-location area (see col. 6, lines 35-67).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-15,17-46, and 48-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egner et al (US Patent Number 6223041) in view of Obhan (US Patent Number 6366780).

Regarding claims 1 and 32, Egner et al disclose a system and a method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network comprising a geo-location tool residing on a computers readable medium, the geo-location tool operable to receive data for a wireless communications network including a plurality of geo-location areas (see fig. 1 and 8, abstract; and col. 3, lines 48-60); estimate bandwidth parameters for a geo-location area based on the data (see col. 4, lines 26-38); and an allocation engine residing on the computer-readable medium, the allocation engine operable to allocate bandwidth in the geo-location area based on its bandwidth parameters (see col. 2, lines 39-67). Egner et al are silent to disclose the geo-location tool further operable to generate, based on the data, a current usage map indicating real-time bandwidth

Art Unit: 2681

being utilized at the geo-location area. However, Obhan discloses the geo-location tool further operable to generate, based on the data, a current usage map indicating real-time bandwidth being utilized at the geo-location area (see col. 2, lines 40-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of the Obhan to Egner et al in order to avoid blocking calls and reserve spectrum within the geo-location area for premium subscribers.

Regarding claims 2 and 33, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Egner et al also disclose the geo-location tool further operable to determine an allocation bandwidth for the geo-location area (see col. 4, lines 39-62; col. 12, lines 8-46; and fig. 1 and 8); and the allocation engine further operable to allocate bandwidth in the geo-location area based on the allocation bandwidth (see col. 4, lines 39-62; col. 12, lines 8-46; and fig. 8).

Regarding claims 3 and 34, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Egner et al also disclose wherein the bandwidth parameters comprise at least one of a bandwidth usage and a bandwidth demand for the geo-location area (see col. 4, lines 26-38).

Regarding claims 4 and 35, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Egner et al also disclose wherein the bandwidth parameters comprise

bandwidth interference contribution for the geo-location area (see abstract and fig. 1; col. 5, lines 28-31).

Regarding claims 5 and 36, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses the geo-location tool operable to estimate bandwidth parameters for the geo-location area on a per service class basis; and the allocation engine operable to allocate bandwidth in the geo-location on the per service class basis based on the bandwidth parameters (see col. 23, lines 1-16; lines 45-47).

Regarding claims 6 and 37, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses wherein the data received by the geo-location tool comprises historic and service level data for the wireless communications network (see col.5, lines 41-49).

Regarding claims 7 and 38, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses the geo-location tool further operable to generate, based on the data, a source map comprising sources of bit usage in the geo-location area and to estimate bandwidth parameters for the geo-location area based on the source map (see col. 23, lines 1-16).

Regarding claims 8 and 39, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses wherein the sources of bit usage comprise a high

bandwidth use facility for which a contractual service level is provided by the wireless communications network (see col. 23, lines 1-16).

Regarding claims 9 and 40, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses wherein the sources of bit usage comprise an establishment for which local wireless access is provided by the wireless communications network at a contractual service level (see col. 23, lines 1-16).

Regarding claims 10 and 41, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses wherein the data comprising contractual service level data (see col. 17, lines 40-46).

Regarding claims 11 and 42, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses wherein the data comprises at least one of data measured from usage within the wireless communications network, radio frequency measurement, and interference estimates (see col. 6, lines 35-67).

Regarding claims 12 and 43, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses the geo-location tool further operable to generate, based on the data, a subscriber usage profile indicating the probability of a subscriber engaging in a connection at the geo-location area and to estimate bandwidth parameters based on the subscriber usage profile (see col. 2, lines 40-45).

Regarding claims 13 and 44, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses wherein the subscriber usage profile comprises mobility information for the subscriber (see col. 6, lines 10-15).

Regarding claims 14 and 45, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses wherein the subscriber usage profile comprises service class invocation information for the subscriber (see col. 6, lines 10-15).

Regarding claims 15 and 46, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses wherein the subscriber usage profile comprising call hold information for the subscriber (see col. 6, lines 10-15).

Regarding claims 17 and 48, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses wherein the current usage map comprises a peak rate for each active connection within the geo-location area (see col. 5, lines 41-49).

Regarding claims 18 and 49, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses wherein the current usage map comprises activity and service class information for each active connection within the geo location area (see col. 2, lines 38-46; col. 5, lines 41-49).

Regarding claims 20 and 51, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses the geo-location tool further operable to generate, based on the data, a current demand map for the geo-location area based on the data (see col. 5, lines 15-23).

Regarding claims 21 and 52, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses a peak rate for each potential connection within the geo-location area (see col. 5, lines 15-22; lines 40-49).

Regarding claims 22 and 53, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses activity and service class information for each potential connection within the geolocation area (see col. 5, lines 15-22; lines 40-49).

Regarding claims 24 and 55, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses the geo-location tool further operable to generate, based on the data, an expected demand map for the geo-location area based on the data (see col. 6, lines 35-40).

Regarding claims 25 and 56, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses a peak rate for each potential connection within the geo-location area (see col. 5, lines 15-22; lines 40-49).

Regarding claims 26 and 57, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses activity and service class information for each potential connection within the geolocation area (see col. 5, lines 15-22; lines 40-49).

Regarding claims 19, 23, 27, 50, 54 and 58, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses primary and neighboring server information for each potential connection within the geo-location area (see col. 6, lines 16-67).

Regarding claims 28 and 59, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses the geo-location tool further operable to generate an interference contribution map indicating the impact on resource usage of supporting various bandwidth at the geo-location area based on the data (see col. 6, lines 57-67).

Regarding claims 29 and 60, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses an interference contribution value and a probability for each of a plurality of service classes associated with bandwidth at one or more sectors within the geo-location area (see col. 6, lines 40-41).

Regarding claims 30 and 61, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses expected resource usage for each of a plurality of service classes at the geo-location area (see col. 6, lines 57-67; see col. 6, lines 40-41).

Regarding claim 31 and 62, the system and the method for allocating bandwidth in a wireless communications network of Egner et al in view of Obhan comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Obhan also discloses the allocation engine further operable to generate a bandwidth supply map indicating the available bandwidth at the geo-location area based on the allocation bandwidth, a total bandwidth, and an interference contribution bandwidth for the geo-location area (see col. 6, lines 35-67).

***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-605-4254. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 703-305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

DN

David Nguyen



SINH TRAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER